

II. REMARKS

Amendments have been made to tidy up the claims, and Applicant further relies on the Declaration of DR. CHANDRAJIT BAJAJ, which has previously been filed, especially with regard to evidence that the rejections fail to contend a proper reason to combine or modify and that the rejection of the claims is improper because the claims have not been shown to be unpatentable over the cited art. Again, if the rejections are maintained, Applicant requests an Interview including the supervisor.

Applicant maintains that the claims have not been shown to be unpatentable over the cited art, and Applicant offers any assistance that may be of help in furthering prosecution.

With respect to the present application, the Applicant hereby rescinds any disclaimer of claim scope made in the parent application or any predecessor or related application. The Examiner is advised that any previous disclaimer, if any, and the prior art that it was made to avoid, may need to be revisited. Nor should a disclaimer, if any, in the present application be read back into any predecessor or related application.

The application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and favorable action is requested. If the prosecution of this case can be in any way advanced by a telephone discussion, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (312) 240-0824.

APPLICANT CLAIMS LARGE ENTITY STATUS. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with the above-identified patent application or credit any overcharges to Deposit Account No. 50-0235, and if any extension of time is needed, this shall be deemed a petition therefore.

Please direct all communication to the undersigned at the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: October 27, 2011

P.O. Box 7131
Chicago, IL 60680-7131
(312) 240-0824

Peter K. Trzyna
(Reg. No. 32,601)
(Customer No. 28710)